

### **Example Candidate Responses**

Paper 1

Cambridge International AS & A Level Design & Technology 9705

For examination from 2016





In order to help us develop the highest quality resources, we are undertaking a continuous programme of review; not only to measure the success of our resources but also to highlight areas for improvement and to identify new development needs.

We invite you to complete our survey by visiting the website below. Your comments on the quality and relevance of our resources are very important to us.

www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/GL6ZNJB

Would you like to become a Cambridge International consultant and help us develop support materials?

Please follow the link below to register your interest.

www.cambridgeinternational.org/cambridge-for/teachers/teacherconsultants/

#### Copyright © UCLES 2016

Cambridge Assessment International Education is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group. Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of the University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which itself is a department of the University of Cambridge.

UCLES retains the copyright on all its publications. Registered Centres are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use. However, we cannot give permission to Centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party, even for internal use within a Centre.

#### **Contents**

Introduction	4
Assessment at a glance	6
Question 1	7
Question 6	18
Question 9	27

#### Introduction

The main aim of this booklet is to exemplify standards for those teaching Cambridge International As and A Level Design and Technology (9705), and to show how different levels of candidates' performance (high, middle and low) relate to the subject's curriculum and assessment objectives.

In this booklet candidate responses have been chosen to exemplify a range of answers. Each response is accompanied by a brief commentary explaining the strengths and weaknesses of the answers.

Each essay is annotated with clear explanation of where and why marks were awarded or omitted. This, in turn, followed by examiner comments on how the answer could have been improved. In this way it is possible for you to understand what candidates have done to gain their marks and what they will have to do to improve their answers. At the end there is a list of common mistakes candidates made in their answers for each question.


This document provides illustrative examples of candidate work. These help teachers to assess the standard required to achieve marks, beyond the guidance of the mark scheme. Some question types where the answer is clear from the mark scheme, such as short answers and multiple choice, have therefore been omitted.

The Mark schemes used here are available to download as a zip file from Teacher Support as the Example Candidate Responses Files. These files are:

Question Paper 12, November 2016		
Question paper	9705_w16_qp_12.pdf	
Mark scheme	9705_w16_ms_12.pdf	
Question Paper 32, November 2016		
Question Paper 32	, November 2016	
Question Paper 32  Question paper	, <b>November 2016</b> 9772_w16_qp_32.pdf	
-		

Past papers, Examiner Reports and other teacher support materials are available on Teacher Support at https://teachers.cie.org.uk

#### How to use this booklet



#### How the candidate could have improved the answer

In all three parts technical language was use detailed answer.

This explains how the candidate could have improved the answer. This helps you to interpret the standard of Cambridge exams and helps your learners to refine exam technique.

#### Common mistakes candidates made in this question

Candidates often did not add technical details precautions that should be followed.

Lists the common mistakes candidates made in answering each question. This will help your learners to avoid these mistakes at the exam and give them the best chance of achieving a high mark.

#### Assessment at a glance

Cambridge International AS Level candidates take only Components 1 and 2.

Cambridge International A Level candidates have two choices. Candidates who want to take the whole of the Cambridge International A Level qualification at the end of a course of study take all four components together. Candidates who want to take the Cambridge International A Level qualification in two stages take the Cambridge International AS Level first. If they pass Cambridge International AS Level, they then only need to take Components 3 and 4 in order to complete the Cambridge International A Level.

#### Cambridge International AS Level


Component 1 3 hours	Component 2 40–50 hours
This is a written paper which tests knowledge, understanding, product analysis and design.	This is a coursework project which involves an individual design problem and production of a design model.
There are three sections; in each section candidates answer one question from a choice of three.	
Weighted at 60% of total marks	Weighted at 40% of total marks

#### Cambridge International A Level

Component 1 3 hour	Component 2 40–50 hours
Component 1 for Cambridge International A Level is the same as Component 1 for Cambridge International AS Level.	Component 2 for Cambridge International A Level is the same as Component 2 for Cambridge International AS Level.
Weighted at 30% of total marks	Weighted at 20% of total marks
Component 3 3 hour	Component 4 40–50 hours
This is a written paper which tests design, knowledge and understanding in three focus areas candidates specialise in one of these areas.  There are two sections in this paper. In Section A candidates answer two structured knowledge application questions from a choice of three on the chosen focus area. In Section B candidates answe the one design question on their chosen focus area.	
Weighted at 30% of total marks	Weighted at 20% of total marks

Teachers are reminded that the latest syllabus is available on our public website at **www.cie.org.uk** and Teacher Support at **https://teachers.cie.org.uk** 

#### **Question 1**



# Example candidate response - high, continued **Examiner comments** @ Plauna wir ou plate Clear sketches with supporting communication of well written notes. These include clear details of tools, equipment and processes. Safety precautions are included. Mark awarded for (b) (i) = 6 out of 6

Example candidate response – high, continued	Examiner comments
The water is turned on a motel latherto reduce the	
(athing thread.	
Wole! To prote a  (3. placed in the	
die stock, with its  tapened site on the sol.	
mote inte an engineer  Square to test  for threading is  bre perpendicular  Vice	

# Example candidate response – high, continued **Examiner comments** clock use horn, perform on 3 Clear sketches which support Screws the communication of well written notes. These include clear details of tools, equipment and processes. thread the entire length Safety precautions are included. Mark awarded for (b) (ii) = 6 out of 6 (it ) Blow hach wine the

Example candidate response – high, continued	Examiner comments
De note! he just should be ned ward and	
3. Use the place borch to one day the flow.	
a Apply both on the joint will a red bot noted in	
& Dip in the boung speller in the grint.	
6 Reverse he frame and perform Arthe other vile.	
Precentions: 1. Ite claves and protective clithing.	
2. Ve flux to protect the work from oxidation and allow the brazing	
freller to peneloute the joint.	
ensure en even distribution de Leat.	4 Clear sketches which support the communication of well written notes. These include clear details of tools, equipment and processes.
	Safety precautions are included.  Mark awarded for (b) (iii) =
	6 out of 6
	Total mark awarded = 20 out of 20

#### How the candidate could have improved the answer

In all three parts technical language was used correctly and quality control, jigs and fixtures added to a detailed answer.

Example candidate response – middle	Examiner comments
SECTIONA  (i) A TOURLASE OF THE	
Section A  1. (a) Wing nut 1	1 The candidate has stated a wing nut but has not sketched clear threads.
- A template made of cardboard is  mode using a compass, percil and ruler:  Precontion: Mate accurate measurements  and auxid porallex error.  Safety: Do not point compass toward body.	Mark awarded for (a) = 1 out of 2

Example candidate response – middle, continued	Examiner comments
template made of glie wood to fix poss  The template is then placed on a wooden block. At different areas, wooden pegs are permanently fixed using glie on the wooden block.  This will gine a jig to bend	
the mild steel.  Safety: Make sure to wear gloves  when using glue:	
the mild steel the is inserted through the jig and mild steel the using a folding bor, it is	
bent at the required places.  Presontion: Carry out process step by step so as not to damage the naterial.  Safety: Wear gloves and goggles.	2 Bending part of A is explained reasonably well with technical language, although benefit of doubt given to a wooden jig for the bending used rather than a metal one.  Mark awarded for (b) (i) =

# Example candidate response – middle, continued **Examiner comments** (b)(ii) The mild steel tube is marked at the appropriate distance using Precaution: Avoid posallop emor be renoval OD depth only. 3 The candidate explains how the 4mm diameter might be reduced from the 6mm diameter standard Mark awarded for (b) (ii) = 2 out of 6

# Example candidate response - middle, continued **Examiner comments** (b) iii) 4 The answer offers clear sketches which support the communication of well written gas tooch notes. These include details of tools, equipment and processes. Safety precautions are included. Marking out, jigs and fixtures add to a detailed answer. Mark awarded for (b) (iii) = 5 out of 6 Total mark awarded =


#### How the candidate could have improved the answer

- (a) For full marks a hexagonal nut should have been sketched with clear threads shown.
- **(b) (i)** The candidate should have marked out of the mild steel so that bending is accurate. Correct tools and detailed processes for quality control should have been used e.g. engineer's square and scriber would have been helpful. Heat could well be used to soften the mild steel before bending which allows for further safety precautions.

12 out of 20

- **(b) (ii)** The candidate should have explained with either notes or sketches how the thread would be applied to the bar.
- **(b) (iii)** To improve the answer the candidate should have given the actual process of brazing with the joint having being cleaned and flux/brazing rod included.

## Example candidate response - low **Examiner comments** Section A 1) 9 1 Benefit of doubt given for full marks as hexagonal nut sketched with feint threads shown. Mark awarded for (a) = 2 out of 2 metal tube heat part to be bent 2 2 Position to be heated shown on tube. [ball pein hammer] 3 Limited explanation of hammer being used to bend tube to 90 degrees. Mark awarded for (b) (i) = 2 out of 6 nammed with a ball pein hammer




#### How the candidate could have improved the answer

- **(b) (i)** The marking out of the mild steel so that bending is accurate with correct tools and processes for quality control e.g. engineer's square and scriber would have been helpful. A jig would have been worthwhile to ensure accuracy of the bend. Safety precautions could also be added relating to use of heat and force to bend the tube.
- **(b) (ii)** The candidate should have explained how the 4mm diameter might be turned on the lathe or how the thread would be would have been applied to the bar with either notes or sketches.
- (c) (iii) Not attempted see exemplar for high response above.

#### Common mistakes candidates made in this question

Candidates often did not add technical details or terminology for tools, equipment, processes and safety precautions that should be followed.

#### **Question 6**



Example candidate response – high, continued	Examiner comments
Solution 2: Fixing the metal dray on the back of Hedoor rather than the sides.	
is made to be screwed on the door	
Asmallmetal plate, welded to sorewed  Stay.	4 Two problems identified in section (b) are clearly explained with detailed 3D sketches which are labelled.
J	Mark awarded for (c) = 6 out of 6
(d) 6) Knock - down littings can be quickly out and easily settled set.  Out is choop and causes small amount of water wastage.  Off They allows assembling as well as desassembling the product.	Mark awarded for (d) (i) = 3 out of 3
(ii) • Jigs can be used to locate and easily him the hittings, also no need of advance skill to do it  • knock down littings are usually made of wood or plastic  in batch production and to they usually use screws and hails which are cheap  • The disascembling feature makes transportation easier  of item easier.	Mark awarded for (d) (ii) = 2 out of 3
(iii) . For users, the disassembling heature allows a big furtiture to his their doors and rome as usually the a big hurniture would not.	

#### Example candidate response - high, continued

# · Cleaper fabrication cost for many bolower means a lesser proce for the constraints. · The endo customers themselves can be assembled the 5 product due to the easiness of knock down littings

#### **Examiner comments**

5 Good answer with three relevant issues identified which are supported by examples of materials and the advantages of knock down fittings. Explanations do not always fully justify the issues being raised.

Mark awarded for (d)(iii) = 2 out of 2

Total mark awarded = 18 out of 20

#### How the candidate could have improved the answer

- (a) (ii) Lock or key lock is a more realistic answer and it is not magnetic
- (d) The answer did not always fully justify the issue was raised.

#### Example candidate response - middle **Examiner comments** 1 Correct. Mark awarded for (a) (i) = Butt hinge 1 1 out of 1 6. (0) (1) 2 Lock or lock key is a more realistic answer - it is not magnetic. Mark awarded for (a)(ii) metal stay does not fold 0 out of 1 3 Two problem are identified and fixed to justified. Mark awarded for (b) = 4 out of 4 (i) (i) part added Front opened open easil. metal is attached to the 4 This question asks for the two problems identified in section (b) to be explained with notes and sketches. However, only one problem is explained. Mark awarded for (c) = 3 out of 6

ample candidate response – middle, continued	Examiner comments
Due to the increased demand	
in solid limber, it has become very	
expensive and rare. Furthermore the	
expensive and late. Turnermore the	
bree takes a long time to mature,	
80 years for hardwood and 40 years for	
softwood hus other atternatives	
such as manufactured board like	
M.D.F and plywood has increased	
used.	
Ho On manufactured boards,	
traditional joints such as housing and	
dovetail that were used on solid timber	
cannot be used. Thus Knock-down fillings	
are mostly used for these boards.	
Since Knock down fittings are	
temporary joints, the unit can be	
assembled and disassembled easily.	
as flat-pack furnitures since they	
as flat-pack furnitures since they	
ean are bransportable and	
K.D fittings are easy to use and	
does not require any skills. The flat-	
pack furniture comes with a set of	
instructions on how to	
mount the fitting and the unit. The	
customer can assemble his unit	

Example candidate response – middle, continued	Examiner comments
by himself and also disassemble it for transportation. 5	Three relevant issues identified with explanations which do justify the issues being raised for the most part. However specific examples/evidence is limited.  Mark awarded for (d) (i) = 3 out of 3  Mark awarded for (d) (ii) = 2 out of 3  Mark awarded for (d) (iii) = 0 out of 2
	Total mark awarded = 13 out of 20


#### How the candidate could have improved the answer

- (a) (ii) Lock or lock key is a more realistic answer and it is not magnetic
- (c) To be awarded full marks the candidates should have identified the second problem as asked in the question.
- **(d)** The candidate should have used more specific examples/evidence. A helpful way to improve this answer would have been to give examples of different furniture types and why they need to be disassembled for transportation and the benefits of this for the consumer and manufacturer.

# Example candidate response - low **Examiner comments** Correct. hinge A) butt hinge 1 13) Magnetic lock. 2 Mark awarded for (a) (i) = 1 out of 1 2 Lock or key lock is a more realistic answer – it is not magnetic. Mark awarded for (a) (ii) = allow 0 out of 1 3 One problem is only identified. Mark awarded for (b) = fixing position 2 out of 4 winy would be to

#### Example candidate response - low, continued

#### **Examiner comments**



4 This question asks for the two problems identified in section b to be clearly explained with notes and sketches. Only one problem is explained, the folding stay that is identified in section b is sketched in detail.

Mark awarded for (c) = 3 out of 6

# Example candidate response – low, continued Examiner comments If the dy unite through hight spaces in a house, so quich terporary hit in as one easy to revolute disassently, now on yest assently in a second with the continued of the fitness allow for hist assently and issues identified but with only limited justification of the relevance. Specific examples/evidence is also limited. Mark awarded for (d) (ii) = 2 out of 3 Mark awarded for (d) (iii) = 1 out of 2 Total mark awarded = 9 out of 20

#### How the candidate could have improved the answer


- (a) (ii) Lock or key lock was a more realistic answer and this was not magnetic.
- (b) Two problems should have been identified.
- (c) Only one problem is explained.
- (d) Further relevant issues related to the increased use of knock down fittings could have covered for example being more cost effective due to the increased speed of manufacture as well as the assembly stage being removed within the factory setting.

Environmentally friendly transportation is more efficient to carry flat packed items via container or lorry as less space is used when compared to fully assembled items.

Immediate availability as the flat packed product can be taken home by the consumer.

Less storage is required after manufacturing as flat packed items require less space than fully assembled items.

#### **Question 9**



#### Example candidate response - high, continued **Examiner comments** IDEA 2 2 12/12 awarded as the candidate 9.(6) IDEA 3 IDEA 1 Portion points - vary fixed to france Appraisal has developed a single idea from a Mild Steel rod Shable base. peritted and joined good range of different design nogative points: Not as first proposal shows as other materials. to the frame proposals – as in section a, the A groove M using bot hexagonal is made for stainless sect may still move left botts as shown made in the candidate has included details on steel rods \$ 10. No in the Lagran middle bor Thebasa M made finish is required and was nachanism both the stability of the frame and a of pine for stability. Hexagonal both below allows oscillation mechanism method for hanging the seat from Hings provers joins the is used to The egent of It opens to the frame. 4/4 awarded for the middle allow the turned on a swing to oscillata bar W lar the from why clarity and quality of sketching of Welded Latha of 20 joined swinging The frame. 10 the to the wop of roce in and is closed using towards ideas as well as the more detailed frama the frame us +9 6/ strongh. component sketches. Notes are 1400 countar sunt A rod passes through the Plate allows fixing to scrows. clear and support understanding. seat to attach it to the the seat by means of Very stable. 3/4 awarded for evaluation and countersunk scrows. Base Appraisal reasons for selection. The Pins are used to seave the frame which Apprecisal Positive points: Veny acellatic to the grown 2. evaluation of each idea is fixing is me due to high quality of Positive points: Strong machanism natural. Strong method of oscillating meshing. which allows rotation, aushitic france whing haxago thoughtful but the actual selection and chap to realise. SELECTION OF IDEA botts and wagnets. of idea 1 does lack technical Regarive points: Ogrsala mehozs ragative poilts: Base is joining to frame and to commentary and objectivity. Again weak and so is attachment IDEA 1 is selected as it painted to growent 3rd proposary Corrosion and provides the mechanism which a very good response. consists of enlance is he most markatableaux is p-islaw DEVELOPMENT appearance. highly assure. Such a Mark awarded for (b) = 19 out of 20Strangth of Lage: base could AREAS FOR DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED SOLUTION also be used to hold A stable wooden · Swength of base Pine base could honal Walled stainless steal rods each lang be used to · Method of oscillating. hold individually part · Method of attaching to sout Logellar gran , behicen the henry the legs wit and of the frame in which sing counters whe 1400 Munoz of oscillary: pins are scraws insutal Method of attacking to spat: for stability. Countar First proposed consists For Proposal 3 is is drilled in of adding a plate Chossias it is Scraw the support which is screwed used. rod it placed in the the strongest and the Lotton middle to prevent left - 1794 to the seat. most appropriate my 17 passed for the siong. Second proposed No finish is required on and oscillatas Proposal 2 consists breely. A hexagonal bott and is chosenas No frank as stainless steel y of a a work mut are promotes is usal. stability and which is Countersunt screw for added straight very secure strange. to join to the seat 2

#### Example candidate response - high, continued **Examiner comments 3** 6/12 awarded as the candidate IDEA 2 9(c) Idea 1 TITLE TITLE TITLE Appraisal has developed a canopy from a The canopy shown & Teak comopy finished A ban is ness of bent Positive points: good range of different design using varnish is An aluminjum added to the Asshalic and acrylia sheet. No finish is need for the Sheet is frame proposals, unfortunately they have sheets are prevents heat. cold bank Lop ALSO strong joint 0 200 not designed a system to adjust hexagonal to shape. dyad. 0 to frame. Losts and fix the canopy at different Nagariva points: 0 Quite expensive angles - half marks awarded. 4/4 The canopy and joining into place. awarded for the excellent clarity mehossare Aluminium not eyereflects with and and quality of sketching of ideas as Approxisal: 1300 Macising. will therefore nor allow well as the more detailed excessive heat below me Positive points: A shainless canopy. component sketches. Notes are Vay australic and stead ross of are shows joints weed clear and support understanding. boths join whe Shainless join into wa 3/4 awarded for evaluation and Negativa points 1 lowing is by sheet rods Downland Toing dona through ava joinal frama. The cappy may be reasons for selection. The countersunt scraws. are walding and or very costly and the boths of see pro evaluation of each idea is very strong. fixed the used are not aesthetic. Appraisal thoughtful and reflective but the canopy weether SELECTION OF IDEA Positive points: actual selection of idea 1 does lack DEVELOPMENT very ashabic and shore. IDEAI is chosen as technical commentary and can be removed and Method of joining to frame: it is the most aeshatic The second maintained easily. objectivity. Negativa points: Material many ment and is quita constly. and strongest overall. peroposal Proposal of consists of consists of alking a bai AREAS FOR DEVELOPMENT Mark awarded for (c) = 13 out of 20 adding a · Makked of joining to frame bar which PROPOSED SOLUTION add stabilith · Shape used and strong the of is Scrawaz and increase Stainlass steel also onto the reflects with No shapa. shough. bar which is hinsh · Helhods of fixing anopy logallar. required tount on the Memod of fixing france canopy loguer. Shapa used: The 3rd proposal consists of adding a plate to hord ine bon to ma The above capropy at 3 mora asshance different places. Shape near lase angle The and safar for use. rods are now Proposal 3 is banding and Marchore placed outs the chosen as it is with contains reduces the stress Thorozone canopy and are that strongast to stainfess steel and are scraus to increase straight applied on the reinforced using effective at hexagonal bolds mutal. holdes the frame. solded for increased stranger.

Paper 1 - Question 9




#### How the candidate could have improved the answer

- (b) The evaluation of each idea is thoughtful but the actual selection of idea 1 does lack technical commentary and objectivity.
- (c) The candidate did not design a system to adjust and fix the canopy at different angles. The selection of idea 1 lacked technical commentary and objectivity.

#### Example candidate response - middle **Examiner comments** 1 4/12 awarded as the candidate has only developed one idea from a single design proposal. · Finer! 2/4 awarded for the clarity and design. quality of sketching of ideas.1/4 was awarded for evaluation and uscung reasons for selection as very few rodes evaluation comments included. nood fill Mark awarded for (a) = 7 out of 20 the back and sent 5) Hubb home · Joining - Lehled · Mild steel tubing. 2 4/12 awarded as the candidate has only developed one idea from a limited range of design proposals. 2/4 awarded for the clarity and Flat home quality of sketching of ideas. More · Choice > Blesiman detailed component sketches would be helpful. Notes are clear and u bolt support understanding but there are not many ideas so there are fewer · Cushining will be opportunities to comment on an press. technical details. 1/4 awarded for added by evaluation and reasons for selection as very few evaluation comments included. Mark awarded for (b) = 7 out of 20gloves and used.

#### Example candidate response - middle, continued **Examiner comments** 3 6/12 awarded as the candidate has developed a canopy although the range of different design proposals is limited. A system to adjust and fix the canopy at different angles has been attempted but a very basic idea half marks awarded. 2/4 awarded for the clarity and quality of sketching of ideas. More detailed component sketches would be helpful. Notes are clear and idea 2 support understanding but there are idea 1 not many ideas so there are fewer opportunities to comment on technical details. 1/4 awarded for evaluation and reasons for selection as very few evaluation comments included as section a. 1050 Mark awarded for (c) = 9 out of 20 13050 othe conopy helded &

Paper 1 - Question 9



#### How the candidate could have improved the answer

- (a)–(c) To be awarded full marks a range of ideas was expected that were then developed into a proposed solution. There should also be more detailed component sketches. As there were not many ideas therefore there were fewer opportunities to comment on technical details and there were few evaluation comments included.
- (d) No marks awarded for use of colour and tone to enhance the visual impact as no colour was added.

#### Example candidate response - low, continued



#### **Examiner comments**

1 6/12 awarded as the candidate has offered three similar design ideas but they have not been developed into a single proposal. 2/4 awarded for the clarity and quality of sketching of ideas. Notes are reasonably clear and support understanding.

0/4 awarded for evaluation and reasons for selection as very few Only few evaluation comments are included.

Mark awarded for (a) = 8 out of 20

Mark awarded for (b) = 0 out of 20

Mark awarded for (c) = 0 out of 20

Mark awarded for (d) = 0 out of 20

Total mark awarded = 8 out of 80

#### How the candidate could have improved the answer

(a) The candidate should have offered a wide range of ideas and then developed them into a proposed solution. More detailed component sketches would have been helpful. There was a lack of comment on technical details. No mark was awarded for evaluation and reasons for selection as very few evaluation comments included.

Section b, c and d were not attempted; please refer to high level examples.

 $e: in fo@cambridge international.org \\ www.cambridge international.org$